

Reference number: 20190321TA2		Framework Contract for Risk Management and Reporting interventions for NASIRA (TA2)
Publication Date		19 July 2019
Subject	Question	Answer
19 July 2019		
Annex 3 – Price Schedule	<p>With reference to your clarifications posted on July 5 and then July 15 we see that Annex 3 has been considerably adjusted (fictitious number of expert days per categories were removed) which comes now in contradiction with RFP particularly art 4.3 (which calls for automatic calculation of costs per role given already available fictitious number of expert rate per categories).</p> <p>Given that RFP is guiding document to process the underlying annexes, we understand that we shall fill in Annex 3 only with maximum daily rates, there is no need to fill in fictitious days. This was also confirmed by your clarifications answers and namely - "Column F will automatically calculate the cost per roll or position, based on inputs to columns D and E (days and daily rate respectively)".</p>	<p>IMPORTANT INFORMATION</p> <p>With respect to Annex 3 – Price Schedule, it will only be necessary to fill out the yellow boxes with the maximum daily rates in Schedule 3. There is no need to add fictitious days, the fictitious days have already been inserted by FMO in the template in Annex 3. The fictitious number of expert days are only included in advance in Annex 3 to create a uniform framework to compare daily rates, and do not set any reference or expectation of what FMO thinks would be needed in terms of capacity.</p> <p>Please find attached to this Addendum (again), the correct Annex 3 – Price Schedule including the fictitious number of expert days per categories, as originally uploaded together with the RFP.</p> <p>We understood that the heading ‘hours’ was confusing and have changed that header to ‘days’. No further changes have been made to Annex 3.</p> <p>Tenderers are explicitly requested to make use of this template with the automatic calculation of the cost per roll or position included.</p> <p>Please only fill out the yellow boxes. We apologize for any inconvenience or confusion caused between 5 and 19 July 2019.</p> <p>In the Award Criteria as listed par. 4.1 of the RFP, reference is made on page 10 to ‘the financial model’ to invite Tenderers to provide FMO with a vision on the operationalization of the Facility through a Theory of Change (ToC). This ToC consists of (i) a full description of the activities that the Tenderer considers appropriate to achieve the objectives of the Program</p>

		<p>in conformity with the requirements specified in the Terms of Reference (as attached as Annex 2) and (ii) a financial model in terms of demands and necessities (regarding among others capability and capacity etc.) of each of the three target groups, as also further explained in the Terms of Reference in Annex 2 to the RFP.</p> <p>There is no connection between ‘the financial model and the Tenderers vision on the Award criteria’ on the one hand and ‘the Price Schedule as attached as Annex 3 of the RFP’ on the other hand.</p> <p>The daily rates provided in the Price Schedule in Annex 3 will not be taken into account by the Evaluators, when evaluating the Vision (including a financial model) provided in response to the Award criteria in par. 4.1 of the RFP.</p>